



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/810,386

03/26/2004

Herbert Hartgrove

03-336

8629

62753

7590

10/19/2007

VALERIE CALLOWAY
CHIEF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL
POLYMER GROUP, INC.
9335 HARRIS CORNERS PARKWAY SUITE 300
CHARLOTTE, NC 28269

EXAMINER

STEELE, JENNIFER A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1794

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/19/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/810,386	Applicant(s) HARTGROVE ET AL.	
	Examiner Jennifer Steele	Art Unit 1794	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jennifer Steele.

(3) Ramon Hoch.

(2) Elizabeth Cole.

(4) Valerie Calloway.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 5 and 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mater, Kierulff, Paire and Kelly.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants may present evidence and/or arguments to establish that lyocell fibers as recited in the claims have a particular chemical structure which is different than that of the modified lyocell fibers of Kierulff. Such a showing would overcome the rejection of record. Paire is not relied upon in the previous office action. Kelly is relied upon for the feature of hydroentanglement.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Elizabeth M. Cole/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required